STATINTL

## Supporters of U.S. Dominican Stance Lower Boom on Fulbright's Criticism

By John M. Goshko

Sen, J. William Fulbright's characterization of the Dominican Republic intervention as under heavy attack yesterday by Sen. Thomas J. Dodd (D. Conn.) who colled the T. C. Racking for Pullwicks Conn.), who called the U.S. action an "unavoidable neces-

In a lengthy Senate speech, Dodd charged that Fulbright, chairman of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, dispatch of troops to Santo Domingo last April.

Dodd's speech was the principal thrust in a multi-pronged the Administration. counterattack that pro-Administration figures began mounting against Fulbright yester-fense Secretary Robert S. Mc-

intervention had resulted from Communist strength among service officer.' the Dominican rebels, had placed the United States on and had thus damaged U.S. prestige among progressive forces in Latin America.

centered on Capitol Hill and most of it was directed

can Leader Everett M. Dirk-|fers from an indiscriminate inlican Leader Gerald Ford of "grievous mistake" came (D-La.), Sen. George A. Smath-

Backing for Fulbright came from Sen. Joseph S. Clark (D-Pa'.), who found Fulbright's ture views "overdue, sound and wise," and Sen. Wayne Morse left." (D-Ore.), who supported Fulbright "100 per cent."

Within the Administration had ignored a mass of evi- itself, most officials took a flat dence supporting the correct "no comment" position. Pri-Communist take over and rencess of President Johnson's vately, however, they made no quired direct action. secret of their anger at Fulbright and implied that Dodd's Dodd cited State Department reply had the tacit blessing of

The sole exception to this Namara, who described Ful-On Wednesday, Fulbright bright's criticism of U.S. Am-(D-Ark.) charged that the U.S. bassador W. Tapley Bennett as "an unfair attack on a very deda panicky overestimation of icated and very able foreign the U.S. intervention.

> McNamara also said there was "no question in my mind" Communist threat.

fatuation with revolutions of all kinds, national, democratic or Communist."

The Connecticu agreed with Fulbright that the United States cannot heat communism by siding with rightist elements in Latin America and that the "best hope for the future : . . lies with the parties of the socalled democratic

However, he argued, the situation in the Dominican Republic, when judged by any criteria, showed a danger of a

To buttress this contention, estimates of Communisti strength within the rebel lead-! ership, the views of John Bart-"no comment" stance was De-low Martin, former U.S. ambassador in Santo Domingo, and the report of a five-member Organization of American States Commission that visited Santo Domingo shortly after

The OAS Commission's report stated that Santo Domingo was in a state of anthe side of right-wing forces that U.S. citizens in the Domin-larchy in the days after the ican Repuplic had been en-revolution's outbreak and that dangered by the revolution the rebel ranks included sev-But he did not answer Ful-eral pro-Castro figures. How-Most of the resultant furor bright's assertion that U.S. officever, the report does not state that sprang up yesterday was cials had overestimated the outright that the rebel moved ment was Communist - con-Dodd, a close friend of Prest trolled; and there has been against: Fulbright. Among ident Johnson, charged Ful-considerable controversy about those defending the Admini-bright with a "tolerance of whether the Commission in stration were Senate Republi-communism" and said he "suf-tended to imply that it was.

CPYRGHT